PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 61205 BM/VB		FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.		International filing of	late (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/FR2004/003012 24.11.2			04	18.12.2003		
Internati	ional Patent Classification (I	PC) or national classification and	I IPC			
C02	C02F1/52, C02F1/44					
Application DEG	nt REMONT					
1.	1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2.	This REPORT consists of	a total of 5	sheets, including	g this cover sheet.		
3.	This report is also accompa	anied by ANNEXES, comprising	; ;			
	a. (sent to the app	plicant and to the International B	'ureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:		
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental					
	Box. b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))					
	o (sem to the that	ernational Bureau omy) a total o	(maleate type and numbe.	<i>、,,</i>		
	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4.	This report contains indica	tions relating to the following ite	ems:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion wit	h regard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV I	ack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			lty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the internationa	l application			
	Box No. VIII (Certain observations on the intern	ational application			
Date of submission of the demand D			Date of completion of the	is report		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			Authorized officer			
Facsimile No			Telephone No			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003012

Box	No. I	Basis of the report			
1.		n regard to the language, this report is based on the internat cated under this item.	ional application in the language in whic	h it was filed, unless otherwise	
			report is based on translations from the original language into the following language		
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))			
		publication of the international application (Rule 12	.4)		
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 an	ad/or 55.3)		
2.	rece	n regard to the elements of the international application, th iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 or report):		v	
		the international application as originally filed/furnished			
	\boxtimes	the description:			
		pages		as originally filed/furnished	
		pages*	received by this Authority on		
		pages*	received by this Authority on		
	\boxtimes	the claims:			
		nos. <u>1-9</u>		as originally filed/furnished	
		nos.*	as amended (together with	h any statement) under Article 19	
		nos.*	received by this Authority on		
		nos.*	received by this Authority on		
	\boxtimes	the drawings:			
		sheets _ 1/2-2/2		as originally filed/furnished	
			received by this Authority on		
		sheets*	received by this Authority on		
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supple	emental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	5 ,	
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:			
		the description, pages			
		the claims, nos.		_	
		the drawings, sheets/figs			
		the sequence listing (specify):			
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amer they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as			
		the description, pages			
		the claims, nos.			
		the drawings, sheets/figs			
		the sequence listing (specify):			
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "su	iperseded."		

International application No.	_
PCT/FR2004/003012	

Box	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statement	•			
	Novelty	(N)	Claims	3-9 1,2	YES NO
	Inventiv	re step (IS)	Claims Claims	1 – 9	YES
	Industri	al applicability (IA)		1-9	NO YES NO
2.	Citations ar	nd explanations (Rule 7	70.7)		
				the following documents:	
	D1:	PATENT AB		IS OF JAPAN vol. 0173, no. 88 (C-1086),	
	D2:	WO01/4190	6		
	1.	descripti scope is and the d coagulati claims an subject m	on, as broade rawing ng read the atter	are not entirely supported by the s required by PCT Article 6, since their er than that justified by the descriptings. In particular, the dose of agents is not consistent between the description, which casts doubt on the for which protection is sought (PCT e reasons for this are explained in Box	.on
	2.	taking in reagents	to cor used :	this report has been drawn up without nsideration the amount of coagulating in the method that constitutes the of the present application.	
	3.	meaning o	f PCT	tter of claim 1 is not novel within the Article 33(2). D1 describes a fluid od that associates the steps of occulation (3, 8), clarification (4) an	

membrane filtration (9), and comprises a double

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

injection of coagulating reagents (2, 7) into an area upstream of the clarification step (3) and into a second area located upstream of the membrane filtration step (8).

Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel and the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) are not met.

4. The subject matter of claims 1 to 9 contains no feature that meets the PCT requirements of inventive step, for the following reasons:

The difference between the subject matter of claims 1 to 9 and D1 is that of expressing the amount of coagulant used according to the percentage of the optimum coaqulation dose. The problem that the present invention is intended to solve can be considered to be that of identifying the optimum dose of coagulant so as to improve the quality of the fluid and reduce the clogging of the membrane. To a person skilled in the art, calculating the optimum amount of coagulant for a particular process is a routine practice when using ordinary tests. Furthermore, D2 describes a method in which the dose of a coagulating reagent is expressed according to the optimum coagulation dose or the dose cancelling the Zeta potential (pZ). It would therefore be obvious for a person skilled in the art to express the amount of coagulant according to the percentage of the optimum dose cancelling the pZ. The solution proposed in the claims of the present application is therefore not considered inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY	PCT/FR2004/003012
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	
The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:	
Contrary to the requirement of PCT Rule 5	.1(a)(ii), the
relevant prior art disclosed in D1 and D2	has not been
indicated in the description, nor have sa	id documents been
cited.	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003012

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The independent claim is not entirely supported by the description (PCT Article 6). It is indicated on page 5, lines 23 and 31 and page 6, line 5 of the description that the overall dose of reagent in the method constituting the subject matter of the invention is **less than** the optimum coagulation dose. However, in claim 1 the injection of reagent can reach 125 % of the optimum coagulation dose in the first area and 25 % of the optimum coagulation dose in the second area. This inconsistency between the claims and the description casts doubt on the subject matter for which protection is sought. The independent claim is therefore unclear (PCT Article 6).